MULTNOMAH COUNTY CIRCUIT COURT IN AND FOR THE STATE OF OREGON

STATE OF OREGON,

No. 19CR53042

PLAINTIFF.

VS.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

JOSEPH GIBSON'S MOTION TO COMPEL

THE STATE TO PROVIDE ALL

STATEMENTS OF CO-DEFENDANTS

TO THE COURT

JOSEPH GIBSON,

DEFENDANTS.

[ORAL ARGUMENT REQUESTED] [UTCR 4.050]

MOTION

Comes now Mr. Joseph Gibson, the defendant, by and through the Angus Lee Law Firm, and respectfully moves this court to order the State of Oregon to present to the court a transcript of all statements made by any of the co-defendants that the State intends to introduce at trial.¹

This motion is made in conjunction with Mr. Gibson's contemporaneously filed motion to sever and pursuant to ORS 136.060.

As the discovery includes an exceptionally high volume of videos, and in the interest of judicial economy, the State should be ordered to provide the court transcripts of any video they intend to introduce at trial which contain statements of any co-defendant.

MOTION TO COMPEL PRODUCTION OF STATEMENTS- Page - 1 Joseph Gibson

Vancouver, WA 98665 Phone: 360-635-6464

Fax: 888-509-8268



Wednesday, January 8, 2020

¹ "In ruling on a motion by a defendant for severance, the court may order the prosecution to deliver to the court for inspection in camera any statements or confessions made by any defendant that the prosecution intends to introduce in evidence at the trial." ORS 136.060(2). 9105A NE HWY 99, STE 200

1	LAW	
2	If a defendant moves to sever the trial from that of a codefendant, the trial court may require	
3	the production of any statements or admissions that the State would introduce at trial. ORS	
4	136.060. This serves the purpose of (1) giving the court the best possible record upon which to	
5	make a ruling on severance, and (2) limiting the analysis (and later trail admissibility rulings) to	
6	the evidence the State actually intends to introduce at trial.	
7 8 9 10 11 12	If a defendant moves to sever the trial from that of a codefendant, the trial court may require the production of any statements or admissions that the state would introduce at trial. ORS 136.060(2). A major consideration is the possibility that a nontestifying defendant's inculpatory statements may be admitted into evidence, because the admissions of these statements might violate a defendant's constitutional right of confrontation.	
13	1 Criminal Law 8.7-2 (OSB Legal Pubs 2013).	
14	Mr. Gibson moves this court to order the State to provide the court a list of all statements	
15	from any defendant that the State intends to introduce at trial or a transcript of the same.	
16	CONCLUSION	
17	Mr. Gibson respectfully moves the Court to order the State of Oregon to present to the	
18	court all statements made by any of the co-defendants that the State intends to introduce at trial.	
19	Respectfully submitted this Wednesday, January 8, 2020.	
20	/s/ D. Angus Lee D. Angus Lee, WSBA# 36473 Pro Hoc Vice Angus Lee Law Firm, PLLC 9105A NE HWY 99 Suite 200 Vancouver, WA 98665 Phone: 360.635.6464 Fax: 888.509.8268 E-mail: Angus@AngusLeeLaw.com Attorney for Defendant Joseph Gibson	James L. Buchal James L. Buchal, OSB No. 921618 MURPHY & BUCHAL LLP 3425 SE Yamhill Street, Suite 100 Portland, OR 97214 Tel: 503-227-1011 Fax: 503-573-1939 E-mail: jbuchal@mbllp.com Attorney for Defendant Joseph Gibson



/s/ D. Angus Lee