## IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF OREGON FOR MULTNOMAH COUNTY

THE STATE OF OREGON,

Plaintiff,

DA 2407866

v.

DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF STATE'S MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVERY

Defendant.

I, Brad Kalbaugh, declare under the penalty of perjury that the following is true and correct to the best of my knowledge. I am over the age of eighteen, and I am competent to testify to the matters herein. I have personal knowledge of the matters stated herein, or as indicated, have information concerning those matters. I am the lead prosecutor in the above captioned matter.

- 1. I know that D. Angus Lee and James Buchal are the attorneys of record in the above captioned case.
- 2. I know that in order to present evidence to a jury, an attorney must call witnesses to the stand to testify. Often, attorneys may offer exhibits through these witnesses as well.
- 3. I know that ORS 135.835 states that defense attorneys must provide the names and address of persons whom the defense intends to call as witness at trial, together with relevant written or recorded statements or memoranda of any oral statements of such persons other than the defendant. It also states that defense attorneys must disclose any books, papers, documents, photographs, or tangible objects that the defense intends to offer in evidence at trial.
- 4. I believe that Mr. Lee and Mr. Buchal are familiar with Oregon's discovery statutes because they often cite them in defense motions.
- 5. On September 14, 2021, Mr. Lee represented to the court that one of the many reasons he was requesting a continuance of the previously scheduled trial dates was

STATE'S DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVERY
Multnomah County District Attorney's Office, Portland, OR 97204 - (503) 988-3162

due to an investigator he intended to call as a witness potentially having to quarantine due to COVID-19 exposure. I informed the court that this was the first I was hearing of this witness and asked for the name and contact information of this person. Despite the plain language of ORS 135.835, I have not received the requested material.

- 6. On September 17, 2021, Mr. Lee wrote the following in an email: "We would like to reserve 1 day for our defense..." In response to this email, I asked Mr. Lee to provide discovery in accordance with ORS 135.835. Despite numerous reiterated requests, I have received no reply to my request for discovery.
- 7. On September 28, 2021, Mr. Buchal wrote the following in an email: "With further preparation and consideration, it may also be that the one day estimate to put on the defense is not sufficient." In response to this email and on the same day, I asked Mr. Buchal in writing to provide discovery in accordance with Oregon law. Although I received a reply, it was not responsive to my request for discovery.
- 8. To date, I have not received the discovery that I have requested, and I have not received any response directed to my repeated requests for discovery.

I hereby declare that the above statement is true to the best of my knowledge and belief and that I understand it is made for use as evidence in court and is subject to penalty for perjury.

Dated this 29th of September, 2021

By:

Brad Kalbaugh, OSB 074335 Deputy District Attorney

Multnomah County

27