7/8/2022 2:08 PM 19CR53042

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF OREGON FOR MULTNOMAH COUNTY

THE STATE OF OREGON, No. 19CR53042

Plaintiff,

v.

STATE'S RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT'S RENEWED MOTION FOR A CHANGE OF VENUE

JOSEPH GIBSON,

Defendants.

respectfully moves the court for an order denying Defendant's renewed motion for a change in venue on the basis that the motion is premature because we have not yet completed voir dire. Defense's motion presupposes that the court cannot empanel a group of 12 impartial jurors plus 1 or more alternate jurors on the case at bar in accordance with Article I, section 11 of the Oregon Constitution and ORS 136.001. In support of this position, Defense relies on dozens of catch phrases and excerpts copied from questionnaires that were completed by more than 200 potential jurors who responded to a summons and were not otherwise excused for cause by the court. The fact that a juror has preconceived ideas about a matter relevant to a criminal case does not per se mean that a juror cannot be fair impartial. *State v. Evans*, 344 Or 358, 362 (2008). The touchstone of impartiality is the juror's ability to set aside any preexisting opinions or impressions and to decide the case impartially. *Id.* The examination of a juror on voir dire serves two purposes: 1) to ascertain whether a cause for challenge exists, and 2) to ascertain whether the parties desire to exercise their legal right of peremptory challenge. *State v. Nefstad*, 309 Or

Comes now Mike Schmidt, by and through Brad Kalbaugh, Deputy District Attorney, and

1 – STATE'S RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT'S RENEWED MOTION FOR CHANGE OF VENUE

1	523, 526 (1990). Here, because voir dire has not yet occurred, the court does not know whether it is			
2	possible to empanel an impartial group of jurors to hear the case against Defendant Gibson. Therefore, the			
3	motion should be denied.			
4				
5	Respectfully submitted this 8 th day of July 2022.			
6				
7	Respectfully Submitted,			
8	MIKE SCHMIDT			
9	District Attorney Multnomah County, Oregon			
10				
11	By <u>/s/ Brad Kalbaugh</u> Brad Kalbaugh, 074335			
12	Deputy District Attorney			
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				

2 – STATE'S RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT'S RENEWED MOTION FOR CHANGE OF VENUE

1	Certificate of Service				
2	I certify that on July 8, 2022, I caused the foregoing motion to join cases to be served				
3	upon the parties hereto by the method indicated below, and addressed as follows:				
4					
5	Counsel for Russell Schultz		HAND DELIVERY		
6	Brian Schmonsees Law Office of Brian Schmonsees		US MAIL		
7	707 Main St., Suite 401 Oregon City, OR 97045	X	FAX EMAIL (courtesy copy)		
8	oregondefender@gmail.com	<u>X</u>	ELECTRONIC SERVICE (UTCR 21.100)		
9			HAND DELIVEDY		
10	Counsel for Mackenzie Lewis Kelly Doyle		HAND DELIVERY US MAIL		
11	Doyle Law 117 6 th St.		FAX		
12	Oregon City, OR 97045	<u>X</u> <u>X</u>	EMAIL (courtesy copy) ELECTRONIC SERVICE (UTCR 21.100)		
13 14	kdoyleatty@aol.com				
15	Counsel for Joesph Gibson		HAND DELIVERY		
16	James Buchal & D. Angus Lee Murphy & Buchal, LLP.		US MAIL		
17	3425 SW Yamhill Portland, OR 97214	X	FAX EMAIL (courtesy copy)		
18	jbuchal@mdllp.com angus@angusleelaw.com	X	ELECTRONIC SERVICE (UTCR 21.100)		
19	<u>ungus e ungusteeu witem</u>				
20			/s/ Brad Kalbaugh		
21			Brad Kalbaugh, OSB 074335		
22			Deputy District Attorney		
23					
24					
25					
26	3 – STATE'S RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT'S RENEWED MOTION FOR CHANGE OF VENUE				